

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/963,669	09/27/2001	Gene L. Cangiani	0918.0028C	8966
27896 7590 05/05/2005			EXAMINER	
	APIRO, FINNAN & LYT	CHANG, EDITH M		
1901 RESEARCH BOULEVARD SUITE 400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ROCKVILLE	ROCKVILLE, MD 20850			

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		:.v			
	Application No.	Applicant(s) U			
	09/963,669	CANGIANI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Edith M. Chang	2637			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHstute, cause the application to become ABAN	or be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	1 September 2001				
·— · · · · · · ·	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-45 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	·			
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 21 September 2001 Applicant may not request that any objection to to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o the drawing(s) be held in abeyance rection is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a light service.	ents have been received. ents have been received in App riority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>Nov 22 2002</u>. 	_	Mail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2637

DETAILED ACTION

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 11 lines 6-8, "U.S. Patent application serial No. 09/205,510 entitled "Programmable Waveform Generator for a Global Positioning System", filed December 4, 1998," should be changed to "U.S. Patent application serial No. 09/205,510 entitled "Programmable Waveform Generator for a Global Positioning System", filed December 4, 1998, now U.S. Patent 6,335,951".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 2-4 and 40 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 2, line 5: "majority voting logic" is suggested changing to "a majority voting logic"; line 6: "the subset of signals" is suggested changing to "the subset of the plurality of signals".

Claim 4, line 1: "the subset of signals" is suggested changing to "the subset of the plurality of signals".

Claim 40, line 1: "The apparatus of claim 15" is suggested changing to "The apparatus of claim 31.

Claim 3 is dependent on the objected claim 2.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2637

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spilker, Jr. (US 6.044,071) in view of Butman et al. ("Interplex-An Efficient Multichannel PSK/PM Telemetry System" IEEE Transactions on Communication).

Regarding claims 1, 8, 15, 22, 31, 38 & 40, in FIG.9, Spilker, Jr. teaches an implementation for Majority-Combined Composite Code and its method in the GPS system, wherein five signals (C/A clock, Existing C/A code, P clock, Existing P/Y code and new M PN code) are input to the Majority Vote Logic, three of the five signals are majority-logic combined (M, C/A and P/Y are combined, column 5 lines 27-30 & column 6 lines 22-24) to obtain a majority vote signal (the constant-envelope composite signal) inputted to a BPSK Modulator and to a Power Amplifier. When the jamming presents (a desired power distribution changes), the M code is provided to Majority Vote Logic to let the system operable under jamming (column 3 lines 42-45 & column 3 lines 15-18). However Spilker does not explicitly name the interplex modulation, Butman et al. teaches the phase-shift-keyed/phase-modualted (PSK/PM) multichannel system called Interplex in Fig.2 (Abstract). As Spilker, Jr. using the constant envelope digital phase modulation (column 2 lines 48-54) in the GPS satellite system, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Spilker et al.'s BPSK Modulator with the interplexing feature taught by Butman et al. in Fig.2 to

Art Unit: 2637

reduce the cross-modulation loss for improving the performance of multichannel system (Abstract).

Regarding claims 2-6, 10, 16-20, 24-25, 32-36 & 41-42, Spilker, Jr.' system modified with Butman et al.'s teaching teaches the majority vote logic/combiner taking three pulse trains: the C/A code, the P code, and the M code (column 5 lines 52-54), outputting a combined pulse train with 1 whenever tow or more of the three composed signals is one and -1 whenever two or more of the three composed signals is -1 (column 5 lines 55-57 '071), wherein the combined pulse train interlacing values of the composed signals to the majority vote based to the majority vote algorithm defined (in column 5 lines 52-54), and Mod 2 Adder with data to one of the inputs d_i of the BPSK Modulator (the interplexer, d₁ or d₂ of Fig. 1, Butman). The Spilker, Jr.' system modified with Butman et al.'s teaching has the structure and performs the subject matter recited in the claims.

Regarding claims 7, 21 & 37, in FIG.9, Spilker, Jr.' teaches chip synchronous pseudonoise codes (column 5 lines 52-54).

Regarding claims 9, 23 & 39, in FIG.9, Spilker, Jr. teaches the multiplexing loss from combining three signals is substantially the same for each of the five signals.

Regarding claims 11 & 26, The Spilker, Jr.' system modified with Butman et al.'s teaching the BPSK Modulator comprising multiple phase modulators \otimes (Fig. 1 of Butman); multiple attenuators $\wedge \wedge \wedge$ and a combiner Σ to combine the in-phase and qudrature components of channels.

Regarding claims 12, 27 & 43, in FIG.9, Spilker, Jr. teaches the BPSK (QPSK, column 2 lines 46-54).

Art Unit: 2637

Regarding claims 13-14, 28-30 & 44-45, in FIG.1, Spilker, Jr. teaches GPS, CDMA

(column 1 lines 55-67) and remotely programmable implementation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 5

examiner should be directed to Edith M. Chang whose telephone number is 571-272-3041. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jayanti Patel can be reached on 571-272-2988. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edith Chang April 27, 2005

YOUNG T. TSE